# Public Document Pack Please Contact

Jill Thompson



E Mail

Jill.thompson@ryedale.gov.uk

### LOCAL PLAN WORKING PARTY

Tuesday 15 September 2020 at 6.00 pm

Council Chamber - Ryedale House, Malton, North Yorkshire YO17 7HH

### **Agenda**

1 **Minutes** (Pages 3 - 4)

- 2 **Government Consultation: Planning for the Future. White Paper** (Pages 5 - 8)
- 3 Government Consultation: Changes to the current planning system (Pages 9 -10)
- 4 **Local Plan Review**



## Public Document Pack Agenda Item 1

### Local Plan Working Party

Held on Thursday 18 June 2020

### Present

Councillors Paul Andrews, Duncan (Chairman), Goodrick, Mason and Windress

### In Attendance

Gary Housden and Jill Thompson

### **Minutes**

6 Minutes

### **Decision**

The Working Party received and noted a report on the Local Plan review

This page is intentionally left blank

### **August 2020 - MGCLG Planning Consultations**

### Planning for the future. White Paper August 2020. MHCLG.

On 6 August, Government issued Planning for the Future. The paper proposes radical reform of the planning system. This includes a new style of Local Plan, with consequential changes for the development management process as we currently know it.

At the same time, a further consultation document proposes four short –term measures changes to the system as it currently exists, including changes to the calculation of housing requirements.

This Briefing Note summarises proposals in the White Paper. A second covers the proposed changes to the current system.

The White Paper was issued on the 6 August 2020 for a 12 week consultation period ending on 29 October 2020.

The proposals are designed to:

- To address delay and speed up development; reduce risk for developers; address complexity and political argument
- Improve public trust and engagement
- Improve design and build quality

### They seek to:

- Streamline the planning process and replacing the 'entire corpus of plan-making law' moving to a rules based decision making system rather than the current discretionary, case by case system(Emphasis on proactive place-making)
- Introduce a radical, digital first approach to modernise the planning process driven by data rather than documents
- Bring a new focus on design and sustainability
- Improve infrastructure delivery through freeform of developer contributions
- Ensure more land is available for homes and development and to support renewal of town and city centres

The proposed changes are based on 3 pillars summarised as follows:

### Pillar One – Planning for Development

A new approach to plan-making is central to the proposed reforms. The role and content of plans and the process of production will be simplified.

Plans will identify three categories of land (across the whole plan area) on a colour coded digital interactive web-based map :

### **Growth Areas**

 suitable for substantial development ( new settlements, urban extensions, major redevelopment sites

### Renewal Areas

- Suitable for development and covering existing built areas
- Infill development and gentle densification; small sites in or on the edge of villages

#### **Protected Areas**

• At a range of spatial scale eg AONB's, Open Countryside, Gardens

In Growth and Renewal areas, the plan will list suitable uses and establish design parameters ( eg height and density) – established locally but informed by permitted development flexibilities and National Model Design Code

Sub- area categories can be used in Growth and Renewal areas and Growth Areas will be expected to include sub-areas to promote self and custom build and community-led housing

For Protected Areas, the plan will explain what is permitted by cross reference to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Streamlined and more engaging plan-making process covering five stages:

Stage1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5
6 Months	12 Months	6 Weeks	9 Months	6 Weeks
LPA calls for	LPA draws up	Plan submitted	Inspector	Local Plan map,
suggestions for	Plan and	for Examination	considers	key and text
areas for the 3	produces	and published for	whether the	finalised and
categories	evidence	the public to	three categories	come into force
Public		comment on	are sustainable	
engagement			All parties right	
			to be heard	
			Inspectors	
			changes binding	

### In addition:

- Development Management policies will be established nationally
- Emphasis on plans will be development parameters/standards informed by design codes that will 'twin track' plan production
- Plans to be more visual with an ability to be digitally 'screened'
- Simplified Sustainability Appraisal process
- Tests of soundness to be replaced with one sustainable development test
- Duty to co-operate removed
- New standard, binding method for establishing housing requirements. Removal of 5 year supply requirement but retention of Housing Delivery Test
- Statutory duty to adopt a new Local Plan no more than 30 months from legislation coming into force or 42 months for those that have adopted a plan in the previous three years
- Neighbourhood Plans to be retained but content to reflect national proposals for Local Plans
- Larger development sites to be developed by multiple developers to improve build out rates

## Streamlined Development Management Process with automatic planning permission for schemes in line with plans

Growth Areas	Renewal Areas	Protected Areas
Outline permission conferred	For pre-specified forms of	Planning Applications as at
on adoption of the plan	development , a new	present
	permission route giving	
	automatic consent if design	
Detailed permission secured	and prior approval	
either by a reformed reserved	requirements are met	
matters process; Local		
Development Order ( which	Faster planning application	
could be linked to master	process for other types of	
plans and design codes);	development	
Development Consent Order		
under Nationally Significant	Use of Local or Neighbourhood	
Infrastructure regime	Development Orders	

### In addition:

- Emphasis on plan-led approach to be strengthened in legislation
- General Development Management policies to be included in national policy
- Greater digitalisation of the application process
- Shorter and more standardised applications

### Pillar Two - Planning for Beautiful and Sustainable Places

### **Creating Frameworks for Beauty**

- National Design Guide and National Model Design Code- parameters for development in different types of location
- Local Design Codes prepared by LPA's or developers to inform plan-making or development proposals, providing effective input from local communities can be demonstrated

### **Fast-Track for Beauty**

- Swift approval for schemes that comply with local design guides
- In growth areas, master-plan and site specific code required as a condition of permission in principle granted through a local plan
- Widen and change the nature of permitted development in Renewal Areas pattern books

### Stewardship and Enhancement of the Environment

- Mandatory net gains for biodiversity
- All new street tree lined
- National framework of green infrastructure standards
- Revisions to Environmental Assessment process
- Review of planning framework for Heritage Assets
- Improvements in energy efficiency standards with ambition that all new homes built under the new planning system will not need retro-fitting in the future

### Pillar Three - Planning for Infrastructure and well connected places

### **Consolidated Infrastructure Levy**

- Flat rate, value based charge set nationally
- Affordable housing to be provided via the Levy (in kind delivery)
- Charged on final value of development
- Value based minimum threshold where no charge would apply
- Revenue collected and spent locally
- Scope of levy extended to cover change of use
- Neighbourhood share retained

### **Implications**

Much will depend on the detail that will be included in the legislation, although a number of implications are immediately apparent.

Plan-making process/timetable – the Local Plan review will not follow the process which has been the basis of discussion with the LPWP to date. The Council will need to follow the new plan-making process. The work that can be undertaken in advance of the new legislation can be considered by the Local Plan Working Party. The Local Development Scheme and Statement of Community Involvement reports which are currently scheduled for consideration by the Policy and Resources Committee in the autumn, are no longer necessary at this point.

Investment in technology – The emphasis on the use of technology to support a digital planning service cannot be understated. There is an expectation that digital and geospatial capability and capacity will be created with technology to support public engagement, interactive mapping and digital application services.

Income – The move to a 'rule-based' system will reduce the number of planning applications that are received with consequential impact on planning fee income. There is also an expectation that a proportion of Infrastructure Levy will be used for Planning Authorities to cover some of their planning costs

Contributions – the proposed changes via the Infrastructure Levy, should result in increased money raised. However, the proposed use of the money to pay for on-site affordable housing provision will require a significant proportion to be used for that purpose.

### **Next Steps**

A response to the consultation needs to be submitted before 29 October 2020. Report to be considered by the Policy and Resources Committee on the 24 September 2020.

LPWP 15 September 2020

### **MGCLG Planning Consultations**

### Changes to the current planning system

On the 6 August alongside the release of the Planning White Paper, the Government also released a consultation paper proposing four changes to the current planning system.

Responses to the consultation are required before 1 October 2020.

The four changes are proposed as shorter term measures in advance of the legislation that will follow the White Paper. They are as follows:

- Changes to the standard methodology for assessing local housing need. (The paper makes it clear that whilst this is a short-term measure it is also relevant to the proposals in the White Paper.)
- Securing first homes at a discount to market price for first time buyers including key workers, through developer contributions in the short term until transition into the new system
- Temporary lifting of the small site threshold below which developers do not need to contribute to affordable housing up to 40 or 50 units
- Extending the current Permission in Principle to major development to provide a fast route to secure the principle of development without the need to work up detailed plans first

### **Implications**

Under the new standard housing methodology, Ryedale's housing requirement will rise to a need for 357 new dwellings per annum. This is a significant increase from the planned housing requirement of 200 dwellings per annum. It is a level of housebuilding that Ryedale has never experienced and will require significant additional land releases to sustain. Officers are currently calculating the housing land supply position. However, the ability of the Council to demonstrate a five year land supply against this level of housebuilding to the point at which a new plan is prepared will be very unlikely. The proposed change will mean that the Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development in the national policy will apply and the Council will have to consider the further release of land to support growth at this level.

It should be noted that since this consultation was first published (along with the White Paper: Planning for the Future) it has been report in The Press that the Government is already reviewing the formula that is used to calculate the house building targets. At this stage however it is not clear when this will be clarified by Government and it is likely that their central objective of building more houses in areas with the worst affordability issues will remain.

The Council already looks to secure discount for sale market housing as it negotiates affordable housing contributions from sites. This proposed change is not, on the face of it, significantly different in principle to what we currently look to provide.

Changes to the threshold at which affordable housing contributions can be made on small sites would have implications for the ability to deliver affordable housing in villages and the rural area, without the release of further larger sites in these locations. This may prove a challenge in terms of the scale and character of these areas.

### **Next Steps**

A response to the consultation needs to be submitted before 1 October 2020.

